

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 DOUGLAS L. GOLLADAY,  
9 CDCR #AH-9802,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 J. HAMBURG,

13 Defendant.

14 Civil No. 15cv2155 LAB (NLS)

15 **ORDER:**

16 **1) GRANTING MOTION TO  
17 PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS  
18 PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)  
19 [ECF Doc. No. 2 ]**

20 **2) DENYING MOTION TO  
APPOINT COUNSEL  
[ECF Doc. No. 3]**

21 **AND**

22 **3) GRANTING LEAVE TO  
AMEND**

23 Douglas L. Golladay (“Plaintiff”), currently incarcerated at Richard J. Donovan  
24 Correctional Facility (“RJD”) in San Diego, California, and proceeding pro se, initiated  
this civil rights action by filing a one-page letter with the Court on September 24, 2015.

25 See ECF Doc. No. 1.

26 In his letter, Plaintiff claims to have been assaulted at RJD on August 17, 2015,  
27 by J. Hamburg, whom the Court presumes is a fellow prisoner, and thereafter denied  
medical attention. *Id.* at 1. He seeks \$250,000 from unidentified RJD officials who failed  
28 to treat his injuries and those who failed to protect him. *Id.*

1       Because Plaintiff is proceeding without counsel, the Court liberally construed his  
 2 letter as an attempt to commence a civil action, and assigned it Civil Case No. 15-cv-  
 3 2155 LAB (NLS). *See Karim-Panahi v. Los Angeles Police Dept.*, 839 F.2d 621, 623  
 4 (9th Cir. 1988) (where a plaintiff appears in propria persona, the Court must construe his  
 5 pleadings liberally and afford plaintiff any benefit of the doubt).

6       Plaintiff did not prepay the \$400 civil filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a)  
 7 at the time he submitted his letter. But he did file a Motion to Proceed *In Forma*  
 8 *Pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (ECF Doc. No. 2), as well as a Motion to  
 9 Appoint Counsel (ECF Doc. No. 3). In addition, Plaintiff has since filed two separate  
 10 letters, both addressed to the Clerk, which express his desire to amend his pleading to  
 11 include additional Defendants: the “2 floor C/Os” who should have “been inside the  
 12 building” and “on the floor” at the time he was attacked, and the “male nurse/medical  
 13 staff” whom he claims failed to refer him to a doctor. *See Pl.’s Letters* (ECF Doc. Nos.  
 14 5, 7).<sup>1</sup>

### 15      **I. Motion to Proceed IFP**

16       All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the  
 17 United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a \$400 filing fee.  
 18 *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a).<sup>2</sup> An action may proceed despite a plaintiff’s failure to prepay  
 19 the entire fee only if he is granted leave to proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).  
 20 *See Rodriguez v. Cook*, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). However, if the plaintiff

21       <sup>1</sup> Because the Court has previously permitted Plaintiff, in light of his pro se status,  
 22 to file letters instead of the proper pleadings and motions required by the Federal Rules  
 23 of Civil Procedure as well as this Court’s Local Rules, he is hereby cautioned that Local  
 24 Rule 83.9 prohibits him from “writing letters to the judge.” S.D. CAL. CIVLR 83.9.  
 25 Therefore, all future “matters to be called to the judge’s attention should be formally  
 26 submitted” as provided by S.D. CAL. CIVLR 5.1, 5.2, 7.1, and 8.2; any future letters  
 addressed to either the Judge or the Clerk will be rejected, returned to him, and not filed.  
*Id.*; *see also King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1986) (noting that while a pro se  
 litigant’s allegations are liberally construed, he must nevertheless “follow the same rules  
 of procedure that govern other litigants.”).

27       <sup>2</sup> In addition to the \$350 statutory fee, civil litigants must pay an additional  
 28 administrative fee of \$50. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) (Judicial Conference Schedule of  
 Fees, District Court Misc. Fee Schedule, § 14 (eff. Dec. 1, 2014)). The additional \$50  
 administrative fee does not apply to persons granted leave to proceed IFP. *Id.*

1 is a prisoner, as Plaintiff is here, he may be granted leave to proceed IFP, but he remains  
 2 obligated to pay the full entire fee in “increments,” *see Williams v. Paramo*, 775 F.3d  
 3 1182, 1185 (9th Cir. 2015), regardless of whether his action is ultimately dismissed. *See*  
 4 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) & (2); *Taylor v. Delatoore*, 281 F.3d 844, 847 (9th Cir. 2002).

5 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, as amended by the Prison Litigation Reform Act  
 6 (“PLRA”), prisoners seeking leave to proceed IFP must submit a “certified copy of the  
 7 trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the . . . six-month period  
 8 immediately preceding the filing of the complaint.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2); *Andrews v.*  
 9 *King*, 398 F.3d 1113, 1119 (9th Cir. 2005). From the certified trust account statement,  
 10 the Court assesses an initial payment of 20% of (a) the average monthly deposits in the  
 11 account for the past six months, or (b) the average monthly balance in the account for the  
 12 past six months, whichever is greater, unless the prisoner has no assets. *See* 28 U.S.C.  
 13 § 1915(b)(1); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4). The institution having custody of the prisoner then  
 14 collects subsequent payments, assessed at 20% of the preceding month’s income, in any  
 15 month in which the prisoner’s account exceeds \$10, and forwards those payments to the  
 16 Court until the entire filing fee is paid. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

17 In support of his IFP Motion, Plaintiff has submitted a CDCR Inmate Statement  
 18 Report as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and S.D. CAL. CIVLR 3.2. *Andrews*, 398  
 19 F.3d at 1119. This Report indicates Plaintiff has had no money credited to his account  
 20 at RJD, carries a current encumbrance of \$89.42 for medical supplies and copy charges,  
 21 and had an available balance of zero at the time of filing. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4)  
 22 (providing that “[i]n no event shall a prisoner be prohibited from bringing a civil action  
 23 or appealing a civil action or criminal judgment for the reason that the prisoner has no  
 24 assets and no means by which to pay the initial partial filing fee.”); *Taylor*, 281 F.3d at  
 25 850 (finding that 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4) acts as a “safety-valve” preventing dismissal  
 26 of a prisoner’s IFP case based solely on a “failure to pay . . . due to the lack of funds  
 27 available to him when payment is ordered.”).

28 / / /

1       Therefore, the Court grants Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP (ECF Doc. No. 2),  
 2 and assesses no initial partial filing fee per 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). However, the entire  
 3 \$350 balance of the filing fees due for this case must be collected by the California  
 4 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR") and forwarded to the Clerk of  
 5 the Court pursuant to the installment payment provisions set forth in 28 U.S.C.  
 6 § 1915(b)(1).

7 **II. Motion to Appoint Counsel**

8       In yet another letter addressed to the Clerk, Plaintiff requests the appointment of  
 9 counsel to assist him because he is indigent, and "would like [an attorney] to assist [with  
 10 his] paperwork." *See* Pl.'s Letter (filed as Motion to Appoint Counsel), ECF Doc. No.  
 11 3 at 1.

12       There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case. *Lassiter v. Dept. of*  
 13 *Social Servs*, 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981). And while 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) grants the  
 14 district court limited discretion to "request" that an attorney represent an indigent civil  
 15 litigant, *Agyeman v. Corr. Corp. of America*, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004), this  
 16 discretion may be exercised only under "exceptional circumstances." *Id.*; *see also Terrell*  
 17 *v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991). A finding of exceptional circumstances  
 18 requires "an evaluation of the likelihood of the plaintiff's success on the merits and an  
 19 evaluation of the plaintiff's ability to articulate his claims 'in light of the complexity of  
 20 the legal issues involved.'" *Agyeman*, 390 F.3d at 1103 (quoting *Wilborn v. Escalderon*,  
 21 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986)).

22       The Court denies Plaintiff's request without prejudice because nothing in the  
 23 record at this stage of the proceedings suggests he is incapable of articulating the factual  
 24 basis for his claims, and any evaluation of his likelihood of success on the merits is  
 25 premature. *Id.* Therefore, no exceptional circumstances warrant the appointment of  
 26 counsel at this time. *LaMere v. Risley*, 827 F.2d 622, 626 (9th Cir. 1987); *Terrell*, 935  
 27 F.2d at 1017.

28       ///

1     **III. Plaintiff's Requests to Amend**

2         As noted above, Plaintiff has also filed two separate letters, both addressed to the  
 3 Clerk, which indicate he wishes to amend his original pleading to include additional  
 4 Defendants: the “2 floor C/Os” who should have “been inside the building” and “on the  
 5 floor” at the time he was attacked, and the “male nurse/medical staff” who failed to refer  
 6 him to a doctor. *See* Pl.’s Letters (ECF Doc. Nos. 5, 7).

7         Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 provides that “[a] party may amend its  
 8 pleading once as a matter of course within: (A) 21 days after serving it, or (B) if the  
 9 pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a  
 10 responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f),  
 11 whichever is earlier.” FED. R. CIV. P. 15(a)(1). Otherwise, amendment is only permitted  
 12 if the opposing party consents or the court grants leave to amend. FED. R. CIV. P.  
 13 15(a)(2). Even then, “Rule 15(a) is very liberal and leave to amend ‘shall be freely given  
 14 when justice so requires.’” *AmerisourceBergen Corp. v. Dialysis West Inc.*, 445 F.3d  
 15 1132, 1136 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting FED. R. CIV. P. 15(a)).

16         Accordingly, while the Court’s permission to amend was not required under the  
 17 circumstances since Plaintiff’s case is still in its preliminary stages and no pleading has  
 18 yet to be served on any party, it hereby grants Plaintiff’s letter requests to file an  
 19 Amended Complaint adding new parties and/or claims (ECF Doc. Nos. 5, 7).

20     **IV. Initial Screening**

21         Notwithstanding Plaintiff’s IFP status, the PLRA also obligates the Court to  
 22 review complaints filed by all persons proceeding IFP and by those, like Plaintiff, who  
 23 are “incarcerated or detained in any facility [and] accused of, sentenced for, or  
 24 adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms or conditions of  
 25 parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program,” “as soon as practicable after  
 26 docketing.” *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and 1915A(b). Under these provisions of the  
 27 PLRA, the Court must sua sponte screen and dismiss complaints, or any portions thereof,  
 28 which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim, or which seek damages from

1 defendants who are immune. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b); *Lopez v.*  
 2 *Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126-27 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (§ 1915(e)(2)); *Rhodes v.*  
 3 *Robinson*, 621 F.3d 1002, 1004 (9th Cir. 2010) (discussing 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)).

4 “The standard for determining whether a plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon  
 5 which relief can be granted under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) is the same as the Federal Rule of  
 6 Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) standard for failure to state a claim.” *Watison v. Carter*, 668  
 7 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012). “To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must  
 8 contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to ‘state a claim to relief that is  
 9 plausible on its face.’ A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual  
 10 content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable  
 11 for the misconduct alleged.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting *Bell*  
 12 *Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)). Conclusory statements that merely  
 13 recite the elements of a claim are insufficient for the purpose of 12(b)(6). *See Iqbal*, 556  
 14 U.S. at 678 (“Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by  
 15 mere conclusory statements, do not suffice.”); *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555 (“a plaintiff’s  
 16 obligation to provide the ‘grounds’ of his ‘entitle[ment] to relief’ requires more than  
 17 labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action  
 18 will not do.”).

19 Because the Court has granted Plaintiff leave to amend, it will defer its mandatory  
 20 screening of Plaintiff’s original pleading pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and  
 21 § 1915A(b) until *after* he has an opportunity to present all his claims against each person  
 22 or entity he seeks to hold liable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in one complete Amended  
 23 Complaint. Plaintiff is cautioned, however, that his Amended Complaint will supersede,  
 24 or replace, his original Complaint (ECF Doc. No. 1), and that his Amended Complaint  
 25 must, therefore, be complete by itself, name *all* the parties he intends to sue, and  
 26 include a “short and plain statement” of any and all grounds upon which he claims  
 27 entitlement to relief. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a); *Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner*  
 28 & Co., Inc.

, 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir. 1989) (“[A]n amended pleading supersedes

1 the original.”); *see also Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). This  
 2 Court will consider “[a]ll causes of action alleged in an original complaint which are not  
 3 alleged in an amended complaint [as] waived.” *King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th  
 4 Cir. 1987) (citation omitted); *see also Lacey v. Maricopa Cnty.*, 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th  
 5 Cir. 2012) (en banc) (holding that while “claims dismissed with prejudice and without  
 6 leave to amend” need not be replied to preserve them in the event of an eventual appeal,  
 7 “claims voluntarily dismissed . . . will [be] consider[ed] . . . waived if not replied.”).

8 Thus, because Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint will be subject to the same  
 9 screening his original Complaint would have received pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)  
 10 and § 1915A(b) had he not first sought leave to amend it, Plaintiff should take care to  
 11 ensure that his Amended Complaint identifies *all* Defendants *by name* and contains  
 12 sufficient “factual matter” to show: (1) how and why he believes his constitutional rights  
 13 were violated; and (2) what each individual Defendant did to cause him injury. *See Iqbal*,  
 14 556 U.S. at 677-78. “Because vicarious liability is inapplicable to . . . § 1983 suits, a  
 15 plaintiff must plead that each Government-official defendant, through the official’s own  
 16 actions, has violated the Constitution.” *Id.* at 676.

17 **V. Conclusion and Order**

18 For the reasons set forth above, the Court:

19       1.     **GRANTS** Plaintiff’s Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
 20 § 1915(a) (ECF Doc. No. 2).

21       2.     **DIRECTS** the Secretary of the CDCR, or his designee, to collect from  
 22 Plaintiff’s prison trust account the \$350 filing fee owed in this case by garnishing  
 23 monthly payments from his account in an amount equal to twenty percent (20%) of the  
 24 preceding month’s income and forwarding those payments to the Clerk of the Court each  
 25 time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). ALL  
 26 PAYMENTS SHALL BE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED BY THE NAME AND NUMBER  
 27 ASSIGNED TO THIS ACTION.

28       ///

3. DIRECTS the Clerk of the Court to serve a copy of this Order on Jeffrey  
A. Beard, Secretary, CDCR, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, California, 94283-0001.

3           4.     **GRANTS** Plaintiff's requests to file an Amended Complaint (ECF Doc.  
4 Nos. 5, 7). Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, should he elect to file one, must be filed with  
5 the Court no later than **Monday, January 11, 2016**. If Plaintiff does *not* file an  
6 Amended Complaint by that time, the Court will presume he wishes to proceed only with  
7 the claims already alleged against the Defendants previously identified in his original  
8 pleading (ECF Doc. No. 1), and will conduct its screening of only that pleading pursuant  
9 to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and § 1915A(b).

10       5.     **DIRECTS** the Clerk of Court to provide Plaintiff with a blank copy of this  
11 Court's form "Complaint under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983" for his use in  
12 amending. If he chooses to amend, Plaintiff should title his pleading as his "First  
13 Amended Complaint," identify all the persons or entities he intends to sue by name, and  
14 include Civil Case No. 15-cv-2155 LAB (NLS) in its caption.

15       6.     **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel (ECF Doc. No. 3) without  
16 prejudice.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 | DATED: December 15, 2015

Camp A. Bunn

**HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS**  
United States District Judge